Abstract: Given a sequence of N elements a1, a2, a3, ..., aN. The desired output will be a'1, a'2, a'3, ..., a'N such that a'1≤, a'2≤, a'3≤...≤a'N using merge sort. In this paper, we propose a modification to the existing merge sort algorithm to sort the given elements when the input sequence (or a part of it) is in ascending or descending order in a more efficient way by reducing the number of comparisons between two sub arrays.

I. INTRODUCTION

Sorting is a fundamental operation, with numerous applications. Search engines incorporate sorting algorithms to display the information sorted by the importance of web page.

Sorting algorithms like Bubble, Selection and Insertion sort have an O(N^2). This limits their application to small number of elements of only a few thousand data points.

Merge sort is able to re-order the given array elements in ascending order. It functions on the Divide-and-Conquer approach. It divides an array into two sub-arrays recursively until one element is left, and then merges the sorted sub-arrays into one.

Merge sort is an efficient algorithm that can sort the given elements in O(NlogN) time. The proposed modification to the existing algorithm focuses on reducing the number of comparisons between the left and right sub array elements. The modification reduces the number of comparisons significantly in some particular cases that will be discussed in the later parts of the paper.

In Section 2, the working of the existing merge sort algorithm is described. In Section 3, the modification to the existing algorithm followed by its theoretical evaluation in Section 4 and empirical evaluation in Section 5 is discussed. The study is summarized in Section 6.

Note: Arrays mentioned in this paper are of size N.

II. EXISTING MERGE SORT ALGORITHM

Merge sort works on the Divide-and-Conquer approach as follows:

a) Divide: Divide an array of size n to be sorted into two sub-arrays of size n/2 each.

b) Conquer: Sort the two arrays recursively using merge sort.

c) Combine: Merge the two sorted sub arrays.

Pseudo code:
Input: Array A to be sorted.
At any recursive call, A[p-r] is the array to be divided.
Here, indices p ≤ q ≤ r

MERGE-SORT(A,p,r)
1 if p < r
2 q ← (r + p)/2
3 MERGE-SORT(A, p, q )
4 MERGE-SORT(A,q+1,r)
5 MERGE(A, p,q, r)

MERGE(A, p,q, r)
1 n1←q-p+1
2 n2←r-q
3 create arrays L[1...N1+1] and R[1...N2+1]
4 for i←1 to N1
5 do L[i] ← A[p+i-1]
6 for j ← 1 to n2
7 do R[j] ← A[q+j]
8 L[N1+1] ← ∞
9 R[N2+1] ← ∞
10 i ← 1
11 j ← 1
12 for k ← p to r
13 do if L[i] ≤ R[j]
14 A[k] ← L[i]
15 i ← i+1
16 else A[k] ← R[j]
17 j ← j+1

Result of the above MERGE-SORT function call (Figure 1) will be array A [p-r] in ascending order.

In the MERGE-SORT function (Figure 1), Line 1 decides when to stop dividing, i.e. when there is an array of single element left. Line 2-4 divides the array into two halves. Line 5 merges the two sorted sub arrays into one.
In MERGE function (Figure 2), Lines 1-2 compute the length \( N_1 \) and \( N_2 \) of sub arrays \( A[p-q] \) and \( A[q+1-r] \) respectively. In Lines 3-7, arrays \( L \) and \( R \) (Left, Right) of lengths of \( N_1+1 \) and \( N_2+1 \) respectively are created and the sub arrays \( A[p-q] \) and \( A[q+1-r] \) are copied into them. Lines 8-9 put sentinels at the end of arrays \( L \) and \( R \). In Lines 12-17, the elements at which \( i \) and \( j \) are pointing to are compared and the smaller one is appended to the array \( A[p-r] \). Finally, array \( A[p-r] \) has elements in ascending order.

**Fig. 3. Dividing Procedure**

In the proposed modification to the existing merge sort algorithm, the fact that the two sub arrays to be merged are already sorted is being used. Thus the best case can be identified if the last element of left sub array is less than first element of right sub array. If this case arises at any recursive call, the two arrays will be appended to the main array without any further comparisons. In this case, the number of comparisons is half the size of the main array.

**Fig. 4.1. MERGE PROCEDURE**

**Fig. 4.2. MERGE PROCEDURE**

(\( i \) and \( j \) are shown in green. The parts of the main array \( A \) shown in yellow contain elements in sorted order.)

**III. MODIFIED MERGE SORT ALGORITHM**

In a merge sort algorithm, the best case occurs when the two sub arrays are already in ascending order (Figure 5). In this case, each element of the left sub array is compared to the first element of the right sub array. As all the elements of the left sub array are smaller than the smallest element (first element) of the right sub array, they are copied to the main array element by element at each iterative step. Now, the right sub array is appended to the main array without any further comparisons. In this case, the number of comparisons is half the size of the main array.

**Fig. 5. BEST CASE OF MERGE SORT**
Another special case in which the modified algorithm will function as efficiently as in the best case is when the input from the user (complete or a part of it) is in descending order. Here, at the time of merging, there will be two sub arrays in which the first element of left sub array is greater than last element of right sub array. (Figure 7)

Case 1: Merging two sub arrays each of size n when the last element of left sub array is less than first element of right sub array (Figure 6). Lines 1-16 in Figure 8 will be executed in this case. The Time/Cost for this case will be 8n+11.

Case 2: Merging two sub arrays each of size n when the first element of left sub array is greater than the last element of right sub array (Figure 7). Here, Lines 1-12 and Lines 17-21 will be executed. As a result, the Time/Cost factor will be

IV. THEORETICAL EVALUATION

The MERGE function has been modified to reduce the number of comparisons between array elements. No change has been introduced in the MERGE-SORT function. Therefore the running time \( T(n) \) of the modified MERGE function for three particular cases has been analyzed as follows.

Case 1: Merging two sub arrays each of size n when the last element of left sub array is less than first element of right sub array (Figure 6). Lines 1-16 in Figure 8 will be executed in this case. The Time/Cost for this case will be 8n+11.

MERGE(A, p, q, r)
1 n1←q-p+1
2 n2←r-q
3 create arrays L[1...N1+1] and R[1...N2+1]
4 for i←1 to N1
5 do L[i] ← A[p+i-1]
6 for j ← 1 to n2
7 do R[j] ← A[q+j]
8 L[N1+1] ← ∞
9 R[N2+1] ← ∞
10 i ← 1
11 j ← 1
12 if (L[N1] < R[1])
13 for b ← p to q
14 A[b] ← L[i]
15 A[q+i] ← R[i]
16 i ← i+1
17 else if (L[1] > R[N2])
18 for b ← p to q
19 A[b] ← R[i]
20 A[q+i] ← L[i]
21 i ← i+1
22 else
23 for k ← p to r
24 if L[i] ≤ R[j]
25 A[k] ← L[i]
26 i ← i+1
27 else A[k] ← R[j]
28 j ← j+1

Case 2: Merging two sub arrays each of size n when the first element of left sub array is greater than the last element of right sub array (Figure 7). Here, Lines 1-12 and Lines 17-21 will be executed. As a result, the Time/Cost factor will be
Case 3: Merging two sub arrays each of size n when the array elements are in any random order. Here, Lines 1-12, 13 and 22-28 are executed. The Time/Cost factor will be 12n+12.

The Time/Cost factor for the existing merge function remains the same for all the cases and is equal to 12n+10. The difference between time/cost of the modified algorithm in case 3 and the existing algorithm is just a constant factor of 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original Merge Sort</th>
<th>Modified Algorithm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case 1</td>
<td>12n + 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 2</td>
<td>12n + 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 3</td>
<td>12n + 10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Original v/s Modified Merge sort algorithm

V. EMPIRICAL EVALUATION

The modified algorithm has been compared with the existing merge sort algorithm for the number of comparisons using the modified merge sort algorithm and the number of comparisons using the existing merge sort algorithm. This is achieved by generating some random integers and finding out the number of times two elements are compared in each case for both the algorithms.

Results are as follows:
Main array size = 128
Number of comparisons using existing algorithm = 896
Using modified algorithm:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>828</td>
<td>903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>836</td>
<td>909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>812</td>
<td>889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>818</td>
<td>894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>822</td>
<td>898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>814</td>
<td>891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>832</td>
<td>905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>796</td>
<td>875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>846</td>
<td>918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>798</td>
<td>878</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Results

\[ x = \text{number of comparisons using modified merging procedure (Lines 12-21 in Figure 8)} \]
\[ y = \text{number of comparisons using existing merging procedure in the modified algorithm (Lines 22-28 in Figure 8)} \]
\[ z = \text{total number of comparisons using modified algorithm} \]

The algorithm that helps reducing the total work done by a compiler is considered to be a more efficient one. The application of the modified algorithm is same as the existing merge sort algorithm.
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